IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Appln. No.:

10/053,006

Examiner:

Phuoc H. Nguyen

Confirm. No.:

1220

Group Art Unit:

2143

Filed:

January 23, 2002

Docket No.:

32938.1

Title:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PROVIDING CONTENT OVER A

DISTRIBUTED NETWORK

Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this document is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Ву: ____

Date: _____3

PETITION TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT BASED ON FAILURE TO RECEIVE OFFICE ACTION (37 CFR 1.181(a), MPEP 711.03(C)

Sir:

This petition is filed in response to the Notice of Abandonment mailed March 22, 2007 (copy enclosed) with regard to the above-referenced matter. The Notice of Abandonment states that the application was abandoned for failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on May 15, 2006.

Applicant herein petitions to withdraw the holding of abandonment in the Notice of Abandonment mailed March 22, 2007. This petition is based on the fact that Applicant did not receive the Office Action to which the Notice of Abandonment refers.

MPEP 711.03(c) requires a showing to establish nonreceipt of an Office communication. Per said section, the practitioner herein states that the Office communication was not received by the practitioner and that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office Communication was not received. A copy of the docket record where the nonreceived Office communication would have been entered had it been received and docketed is attached herein.

The docket notes that an Appeal Brief was completed on April 10, 2006. The docket shows no further communication from the Office until the Notice of Abandonment was received on April 16, 2007.

Petitioner therefore requests the Director to withdraw the holding of abandonment in the above-referenced application.

Petitioner also notes that the reason for abandonment states "Called and left a message for Mr. Nelson R. Capes (Reg. No. 37,106) 612-977-8486 regarding the status of the application; however, no response has been received." Mr. Capes never received this message.

Furthermore, on March 15, 2007, Mr. Capes called Examiner Phuoc H. Nguyen (571-272-3919) because he had been notified by another law firm that they had received a call from Examiner Nguyen regarding this application. Examiner Nguyen directed Mr. Capes to file a new Change of Correspondence Address and wait for a notice of abandonment.

Petitioner also encloses, for the Director's information, copies of the Status Inquiry, Change of Correspondence Address, and postcard receipt stamped July 15, 2003 by OIPE. The non-received Office communication was apparently sent to the practitioner's previous address, even though the Office had notice of the change of correspondence address.

The Director is authorized to charge any fee deficiency required by this paper or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-3732.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 3/29/07

By When R Cype
Gerald E. Helget (Reg. No. 30,948)

Nelson R. Capes (Reg. No. 37,106)

BRIGGS AND MORGAN, P.A.

2200 IDS Center, 80 South Eighth Street

Minneapolis, MN 55402 Telephone: 612-977-8480 Facsimile: 612-977-8650



32938.1

Thursday, March 29, 2007

Status Description CountryName Filed **United States** Regular Original Filing National **PARTY INFORMATION DATES AND NUMBERS** 1/23/2002 **DayPort Video Streaming** ClientName ApplicationDate - No Solutions

AgentName

GrantDate - PatentNo

10/053,006

AttorneyName

Nelson R. Capes

PublicationDate - No

CurrentOwnerName DayPort Video Streaming

Solutions

ParentFilingDate - No

AssociateName

ParentGrantDate - Country

TotalClaims

16

IndClaimsDesigns

2

CreateDate

6/6/2002

UpdateDate

3/29/2007 9:53:03 AM

UpdateUser

stolor

SYSTEM GENERATED DATES

TaxBaseDate

NextTaxDate

ExpirationDate

INVENTORS

Title

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PROVIDING CONTENT OVER A DISTRIBUTED NETWORK

DESCRIPTION

USER DEFINED TEXT

ACTION INFORMATION

Patent Case Print Report

ActionDueDate ActionDescription		CompletedDate	TakenDate	DeadlineDate
5/22/2007	Abandon?			
3/22/2007	Notice of abandonment			
4/9/2006	Brief Due	4/10/2006		
2/19/2006	Appeal due - 1st extension	2/9/2006		
2/19/2006	Final rejection - 1st extensio	2/9/2006		

PATENT CASE PRINT REPORT

32938.1

Thursday, March 29, 2007

1/19/2006	Appeal due	1/19/2006	
1/19/2006	Final rejection due	1/19/2006	
12/19/2005	Response to provoke advisory a	1/19/2006	
10/19/2005	Final Rejection	10/19/2005	
8/5/2005	Response to 1st office action	7/26/2005	
5/5/2005	1st Office Action	5/5/2005	
7/23/2003	Published	7/24/2003	
4/23/2002	Info Discl. Statement	4/18/2002	

Criteria

([ApplicationDate] Between {d'2002-01-23'} AND {d'2007-03-28'}) AND ([ClientName] in ('DayPort Video Streaming Solutions'))

Order by PatentMasterID then

Record Count

13

0	STATES PATER	nt and Trademark Office	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22. www.uspto.gov ayport Video Str	OR PATENTS 313-1450
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,006	01/23/2002	Cory L. Factor	32938.1	1220
7590 03/22/2007 Kurt J. Niederluecke BRIGGS AND MORGAN 2200 First National Bank Building 332 Minnesota Street Saint Paul, MN 55101		RECEIVED	EXAMINER NGUYEN, PHUOC H	
		MAR 26 2007	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		BRIGGS AND MORGAN, P.A. IP DOCKETING DEPT	MAIL DATE 03/22/2007	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6	PE 400	
AF	PR 0 5 2007 B	
FER		
No	tice of Abando	nment

Application No.

10/053,006

Examiner

Physic H. Nguyen

2143

	Phuoc H. Nguyen	2143	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		orrespondence ad	dress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of)	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	•	
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does it			-
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of	nendment which pla or (3) a timely filed I	aces the Request for
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).			
(d) No reply has been received.	,		
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8)	I publication fee, if applicable, within 5).	the statutory period	of three months
 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was	received on (with a Certification for payment of the issue fee (and	ite of Mailing or Tr id publication fee) s	ansmission dated et in the Notice of
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$		CFR 1.18(d), is \$_	.
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	t been received.		
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required Allowability (PTO-37).	ired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the No	otice of
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.			
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim	ence rendered on and becaus ns.	e the period for see	eking court review
7. The reason(s) below:			
Called and left a message for Mr. Nelson R. Capes application; however, no response has been received	(Reg. No. 37,106) 612-977-8486 ed.	regarding to the	status of the
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	TECHNO	DAVID WILEY DEVELORY CENTER 210 CFR 1.181, should be	10

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)